

Correction

Correction: Limited reliability of the indirect immunofluorescence technique for the detection of anti-Rib-P antibodies

Michael Mahler¹, Jennifer T Ngo², Johannes Schulte-Pelkum¹, Tanja Luettich³ and Marvin J Fritzler²

¹Dr Fooke Laboratorien GmbH, Mainstraße 85 D-41469, Neuss, Germany

²Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Calgary, 3330 Hospital Drive NW, Calgary, AB T2N 4N1, Canada

³Mikrogen GmbH, Floriansbogen 2-4 82061, Neuried, Germany

Corresponding author: Marvin J Fritzler, fritzler@ucalgary.ca

Published: 26 January 2009

Arthritis Research & Therapy 2009, **11**:402 (doi:10.1186/ar2599)

This article is online at <http://arthritis-research.com/content/11/1/402>

© 2009 BioMed Central Ltd

See related research by Mahler *et al.*, <http://arthritis-research.com/content/10/6/R131>

Following publication of our recent article [1], we noticed the following errors:

In the Results section, under the heading 'Confirmation of anti-Rib-P reactivity in 51 samples by other methods', in the first sentence, 39.6% should be 41.2%.

In the same section, the following sentence:

The agreement between the individual methods and the IB was found at 0.57 ($P < 0.0001$) (ELISA), 0.71 ($P < 0.0001$), and 0.96 ($P < 0.0001$) according to the kappa method.

Should read:

The agreement between the individual methods and the IB was found at 0.57 ($P < 0.0001$) (ELISA), 0.71 ($P < 0.0001$) (LIA), and 0.96 ($P < 0.0001$) (EliA(R)) according to the kappa method.

In the results section, under the heading 'Anti-Rib-P reactivity in a systemic lupus erythematosus cohort and controls', in the second sentence, 28% should be 29%.

Reference

1. Mahler M, Ngo JT, Schulte-Pelkum J, Luettich T, Fritzler MJ: **Limited reliability of the indirect immunofluorescence technique for the detection of anti-Rib-P antibodies.** *Arthritis Res Ther* 2008, **10**:R131.