

COMMENT

Open Access



Reply to the comment on “Accuracy and usability of a diagnostic decision support system in the diagnosis of three representative rheumatic diseases: a randomized controlled trial among medical students”

Johannes Knitza^{1,2*}  and Axel J. Hueber^{1,3}

With great interest, we read the comment by Gilbert and Wicks on our recent publication [1] testing the accuracy and usability of Ada’s symptom checker among medical students.

We fully agree with Gilbert et al. that the accuracy and usability of the Ada app is extremely user and use-case dependent. Whereas Ada’s accuracy was extremely high (98%) in the rheumatoid arthritis vignette, it was clearly lower for the other two vignettes (granulomatosis with polyangiitis 43%; systemic lupus erythematosus 51%). In a recent publication [2] with actual patients, we analyzed in detail the varying perceived usability regarding Ada compared to a similar rheumatology-specific system (Rheport). Usability of both tools was good, although usability of Ada was significantly lower compared to Rheport. Importantly, usability significantly decreased with age.

The authors of the comment state that the app was designed for layperson users to test what underlying

disease might be causing their health issues and state that the app explicitly is not developed for health care professionals (HCP). It is noteworthy that the underlying Ada intelligence is identical to Ada’s HCP focused system. Remarkably, the same authors (Gilbert et al., Ada Health GmbH [3]) published a study in which primary care physicians (GPs) tested 200 clinical vignettes with Ada and other digital symptom assessment apps. Also urgency advice was analyzed. Inspired and analog to that study, we intentionally chose medical students and rheumatology case vignettes (source public online learning center and Rheum2Learn section American college of Rheumatology) with very typical disease symptoms over laypersons to create a “best-case scenario.” Gilbert and Wicks further argue that the used Ada app in our study is not a diagnostic decision support system (DDSS); however, the Ada app provides diagnostic terms upon symptom entry and intentionally recommends urgency advices to support appointments. In our opinion and on the basis of the work by Sutton et al. [4], apps interpreting or translating symptoms into diagnoses fulfill characteristics of DDSS, but could also be named symptom assessment apps, self-diagnosis tools, technology-supported clinical decision support tools, etc. Nevertheless, arguing over the exact description should not distract from proper utilization of this technology, since we believe that DDSS or similar tools could operate through patients or HCPs as a gatekeeper for optimization of patient flows. Unfortunately,

This comment refers to the article available at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13075-021-02616-6>.

This comment refers to the article available at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13075-022-02770-5>.

*Correspondence: johannes.knitza@uk-erlangen.de

¹ Department of Internal Medicine 3, Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nürnberg (FAU) and Universitätsklinikum Erlangen, Ulmenweg 18, 91054 Erlangen, Germany
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

these tools still lack accuracy in certain rheumatologic rare diseases.

Abbreviations

DDSS: Diagnostic decision support system; HCP: Health care professionals.

Authors' contributions

JK and AJH drafted the manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript.

Funding

None.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Internal Medicine 3, Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nürnberg (FAU) and Universitätsklinikum Erlangen, Ulmenweg 18, 91054 Erlangen, Germany. ²Deutsches Zentrum für Immuntherapie (DZI), Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nürnberg and Universitätsklinikum Erlangen, Erlangen, Germany. ³Division of Rheumatology, Klinikum Nürnberg, Paracelsus Medical University, Nürnberg, Germany.

Published online: 24 May 2022

References

1. Knitza J, Tascilar K, Gruber E, Kaletta H, Hagen M, Liphardt A-M, et al. Accuracy and usability of a diagnostic decision support system in the diagnosis of three representative rheumatic diseases: a randomized controlled trial among medical students. *Arthr Res Ther*. 2021;23:233.
2. Knitza J, Muehlensiepen F, Ignatyev Y, Fuchs F, Mohn J, Simon D, et al. Patient's perception of digital symptom assessment technologies in rheumatology: results from a multicentre study. *Front Public Health*. 2022;10:844669. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.844669>.
3. Gilbert S, Mehl A, Baluch A, Cawley C, Challiner J, Fraser H, et al. How accurate are digital symptom assessment apps for suggesting conditions and urgency advice? A clinical vignettes comparison to GPs. *BMJ Open*. 2020;10(12):e040269. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-040269>.
4. Sutton RT, Pincock D, Baumgart DC, Sadowski DC, Fedorak RN, Kroeker KI. An overview of clinical decision support systems: benefits, risks, and strategies for success. *NPJ Digit Med*. 2020;3:17. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-020-0221-y>.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

